(*b*) the steps taken in the matter?

MR. M. K. NILAKANTA AIYAR: (*a*) No.

(*b*) The question of revising the form of charge sheets so as to include the purpose for which the witnesses are cited is being considered by Government.

MR. PULIYOOR T. P. VELAYUDHAN PILLAI: May I know whether during the last budget session of the Sri Mulam Assembly a cut motion has been carried with overwhelming majority seeking to effect a change in the charge sheet filed by the Police before the Magistracy?

MR. M. K. NILAKANTA AIYAR: Yes.

MR. PULIYOOR T. P. VELAYUDHAN PILLAI: May I know whether any report has been submitted by the Inspector-General of Police to Government in this matter?

MR. M. K. NILAKANTA AIYAR: The Inspector-General has. Government have not got information on all the points on which information has been called for. They are considering the report.

MR. PULIYOOR T. P. VELAYUDHAN PILLAI: May I know the reason for delay in coming to any definite conclusion with regard to the proposal?

MR. M. K. NILAKANTA AIYAR: The main question is, whether Section 155 has to be amended or not; there are a few legal points which deserve consideration.

MR. PULIYOOR T. P. VELAYUDHAN PILLAI: May I know whether, without amending the present section of the Criminal Procedure Code, this was the form in which charge sheet was presented some time ago?

MR. M. K. NILAKANTA AIYAR: Yes, for some time.

MR. PULIYOOR T. P. VELAYUDHAN PILLAI: May I know whether any change was effected in the Criminal Procedure Code at that time?

MR. M. K. NILAKANTA AIYAR: No.

MR. PULIYOOR T. P. VELAYUDHAN PILLAI: Then, may I know from the Government what their difficulty was to bring into force the practice sought to be established in the matter of effecting a change in the form of the charge sheet in accordance with the cut motion.

MR. M. K. NILAKANTA AIYAR: When the question has been raised and some conclusion has to be arrived at all the implications have to be considered.

Construction of the Kollakadavu Bridge.

163. *MR. PULIYOOR T. P. VELAYUDHAN PILLAI: Will the Government be pleased to state:

(*a*) the steps hitherto taken for the construction of the Kollakadavu bridge on the Chengannur-Mavelikara road;

(*b*) whether the Government or the Chief Engineer have received representations praying for the early construction of the bridge; and

(*c*) the nature of the bridge propcsed to be constructed here?

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

MR. M. S. DORASWAMI AIYANGAR : (*a*) A design of the bridge was first prepared but further progress could not be made for want of funds. A fresh cheaper design is proposed by the Director of Development. The details of this design are being worked out in consultation with the Director of Development.

(*b*) Yes.

(*c*) Ascu treated timber arch bridge of single span with 14' roadway width.

MR. PULIYOOR T. P. VELAYUDHAN PILLAI : May I know the amount required as per the cheaper design for the construction of this bridge?

MR. M. S. DORASWAMI AIYANGAR : The estimate has not yet been prepared. The design is under consideration. But, approximately, Rs. 15,000 will be required?

MR. PULIYOOR T. P. VELAYUDHAN PILLAI : According to the prior estimate which they have prepared for the construction of the very same bridge, may I know the amount required?

MR. M. S. DORASWAMI AIYANGAR : Only a design was prepared; no estimate.

MR. PULIYOOR T. P. VELAYUDHAN PILLAI : May I know whether an estimate was prepared some time back for the construction of this bridge without the help of the Director of Development?

MR. M. S. DORASWAMI AIYANGAR : That was the exact point for which the answer was given.

MR. PULIYOOR T. P. VELAYUDHAN PILLAI : May I know the exact estimate required for the construction of the bridge in accordance with the design?

MR. M. S. DORASWAMI AIYANGAR : We had not made the investigation complete, and the estimate has not been prepared.

MR. PULIYOOR T. P. VELAYUDHAN PILLAI : May I know with reference to part (*b*) of the answer, the date of the report submitted to Government?

MR. M. S. DORASWAMI AIYANGAR : I am not posted with the exact date of the representation made. But a number of representations has been made in the previous years.

MR. PULIYOOR T. P. VELAYUDHAN PILLAI : May I know the date of at least the earliest?

MR. M. S. DORASWAMI AIYANGAR : I claim notice.

Construction of a bridge at Nalkalikkal.

164. *MR. PULIYOOR T. P. VELAYUDHAN PILLAI : Will the Government be pleased to state :

(*a*) whether sanction has already been accorded for the construction of a bridge at Nalkalikkal, on the Pandalam-Aranmula road, between the villages of Aranmula and Kidangannur; and

(*b*) the steps hitherto taken for the construction of the bridge?

MR. M. S. DORASWAMI AIYANGAR : (*a*) Yes.

(*b*) Nil.

MR. PULIYOOR T. P. VELAYUDHAN PILLAI : May I know when sanction was accorded for the construction of the bridge?

MR. M. S. DORASWAMI AIYANGAR : On 20-6-36.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly